

# United States Patent and Trademak

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR States Patent and Trademark Office States Patent States Pa

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			TAN	plication No.	<b>J</b>	Applicant(s)		/
						TANIGUCHI ET AL.		
•			_	9/454,865 		Art Unit		
		Action Summary		amin r		2133		
			Ca	arl Colin	sh et with	th correspondent	address	
	The MAIL	ING DATE fthis commu	nication appears	S OII (He covo.				
eriod for	Reply	STATUTORY PERIOD	FOR REPLY IS	SET TO EXP	RE 3 MO	NTH(S) FROM		
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re earned	AILING Dions of time n IX (6) MONTheriod for reply period for reply to reply with	DATE OF THIS COMMUN nay be available under the provision HS from the mailing date of this con y specified above is less than thirty y is specified above, the maximum in the set or extended period for rep by the Office later than three month adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a) nmunication. (30) days, a reply with statutory period will a bly will, by statute, cau s after the mailing dat	). In no event, howe hin the statutory mini	mum of thirty	(30) days will be considered	ed timely. of this communication. 33).	
Status 		sive to communication(s)	filed on 12/07/	<u> 1999</u> .				
1)⊠					nal.		12 - 1 -	
2a)□	This act	ion is FINAL.  his application is in condit			and mat	ters, prosecution a	ıs to the merits is ব	
3)□	closed i	n accordance with the pr	actice under Ex	x parte Quayle	1935 C.I	), 11, 453 O.G. 21		
Disposit	ion of Cla	ams	ne application.				·	
4)⊠	Claim(s)	1-27 is/are pending in the above claim(s) i	s/are withdrawl	n from conside	ration.			
	4a) Of th	e above claim(s) i	S/are without					
5)	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s)	1-27 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s	) is/are objected to	). 	olection requi	ement.			
8)	Claim(s	) are subject to re	striction and/or	Ciccionioda				
Applica	tion Pape	ers		_				
9)🗵		cification is objected to b wing(s) filed on <u>07 Decer</u>			d or b)	objected to by the E	xaminer.	
10)[\(\sum \)	The dra	wing(s) filed on <u>07 Decer</u> ant may not request that an	webjection to the	e drawing(s) be	neld in abe	yance. See 37 CFR	1.85(a).	
	Applic	ant may not request that ar posed drawing correction	s filed on	is: a)☐ appro	ved b)	disapproved by the	e Examiner.	
11)[	] The pro	posed drawing correction roved, corrected drawings a	re required in rel	ply to this Office	action.			
	If app	roved, corrected drawings a	ed to by the Fx	aminer.				
12)[	] The oat	h or declaration is object	, <del></del>			•		
Priorit	y under 3	85 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12	U	n priority unde	· 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (	f).	
13)[	Ackno	s5 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12 wiedgment is made of a	claim for foreig	n phoney ando		-		
	15-7 A II	WIT Some * C)   NON	e ot:					
1				its nave been i	occived i	n Application No	·	
	2.	Certified copies of the p	riority documer	nts nave been i	e have he	en received in this	National Stage	
	3.□	Copies of the certified c	opies of the pri- International B	onty document	le 17.2(a	)). not received.	•	•- 1
		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	പടim for domes	Stic priority unc	CI 00 0.0		provisional applicat	IOU
14)[	Acknow	wledgment is made of a translation of the fore	on language n	rovisional app	ication ha	s been received.	404	
15)	a) □ 1 □ Ackno	The translation of the fore owledgment is made of a	claim for dome				727.	
Attach	nment(s)				<b></b>	· · · · Cummary (PTO-4	13) Paper No(s)	
		eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing F I Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC	(eview (PTO-948) 0-1449) Paper No(s		5) Notice	ce of Informal Patent Apr.	pplication (FTO 102)	
3,23	Tendama			Action Summan		Part of	Paper No. 6	

Application/Control Number: 09/454,865

Art Unit: 2133

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Pursuant to USC 131, claims 1-27 are presenting for examination. 1.

# Specification

- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 33, line 3, 2. reference number "singer" should be --signer--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2.1 The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

### Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: after in the signature module comprising, the next line should be --a-- digital signature instead of "an". Appropriate correction is required.
- 3.1 Claims 4-5 are objected to because of the following informalities: "the signature key use limit information storage part" is not clear. Examiner will interpret the claim as a limit to the number of signatures performed. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/454,865 Page 3

Art Unit: 2133

3.2 Claims 18 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities: claim 18 and contain the term "for storing the information"; in order to avoid rendering the claim indefinite, applicant is suggested to change the word "the". Same correction is required in claim 19 for the identifiers. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9, 11, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 9, the phrase "perform the same process" renders the claim indefinite because each verification part performs a different function in claim 1. It is not definite to what process is performed in claim 9. Claims 11 and 15 are dependent on claim 9, and therefore are rejected on the same rationale as claim 9. See MPEP § 2173.05(b). Examiner will interpret the claim as one of the process one verification part already performed in claim 1.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/454,865

Art Unit: 2133

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5.1 Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6,189,146 to Misra et al.
- As per claim 1, Misra et al. substantially teaches a distribution information management system (see column 10 and figure 3) having a structure comprising a license pack that meets the recitation of a data carrier attached to an article for storing the information of the article, the distribution information processing module comprising: a reading part, storing part (see column 8, lines 35-67), a first communication part that communicates with the distribution information management module (figure 3, numbers 124, 126), a first information verification unit comprising a first part that verifies the information read out from the data carrier a first verification key storage part that stores the verification key used by the first information verification part for verification of the information (see column 8, lines 35-67), and a license server (28) that meets the recitation of an information generating unit that processes the information to be stored in the data carrier comprising: a distribution information generating part that generates the information to be stored in the data carrier, a signature module (26,118) that

Application/Control Number: 09/454,865

Art Unit: 2133

performs signature generating process; a signature key storage part (100,120) that stores the signature key information used by the signature module for generating a digital signature; a signature key information selection part (26); that selects a signature key information stored in the signature key storage part and a signature key information acquisition part (124) that acquires the signature key information from the distribution information management module, the signature module comprising: a signature part that generates a digital signature for the information generated by the distribution information generating part; and a first signer private information storage part that stores signer private information used by the signature part for generating a digital signature (column 10, lines 4 et seq.); the distribution information management module comprising: a second communication part (128,134) that communicates with the distribution information processing module; a second information verification unit that processes the information received comprising: a second information verification part that verifies the information received from the distribution information processing module; and a second verification key storage part that stores the verification key used by the second information verification part for verification of the information (see column 11, lines 25-65); and a signature key information generating unit that processes the signature key information to be sent to the distribution information processing module comprising: a signature key information generating part (28,128) that generates a signature key information used by the distribution information processing module for generating a distribution information, a signature key storage part (110) (see also column 11, line 65 through column 12, lines 27) a signer private information selection part (132) that selects signer private information used by the signature key information generating part for generating signature key information; and a second signer private information

storage part that stores the signer private information (136) (see also column 11, line 65 through column 12, lines 27). Not disclosed is the way the structure is arranged. However, **Misra et al.** discloses all the functions pertained to the parts in the claim. To shift location of parts requires routine skill in the art-*In re Japikse* 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950). To one skilled in the art, it is obvious that the functions of the parts in the claims are found in the invention of **Misra et al.** without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention of **Misra et al.**. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of **Misra et al.** to provide a module with structures arranged differently. This modification would have been obvious because one skilled in the art would have been motivated by the suggestions provided by **Misra et al.** so as to present a modified module.

As per claim 2, Misra et al. discloses the limitation wherein the signature module is detachable from the distribution information processing module. The installer generates the signature in the license server that can separate from the server (see column 5).

As per claim 3, Misra et al. discloses the limitation wherein the signature module is tamperproof (see column 8, lines 35-52 and column 11, lines 55-60).

As per claim 4, Misra et al. discloses the limitation wherein the information generating unit has a signature key use limit information storage part, the signature key information selection part does not select signature key information used more than a specified number of times for signature (column 7, lines 1-20). Misra et al. does not mention not selecting signature

Art Unit: 2133

key information but discloses preventing users from multiplying the number of licenses purchased on the same server. This modification would have been obvious because one skilled in the art would have been motivated by the suggestions provided by Misra et al. to prevent copying of licenses.

As per claim 5, Misra et al. discloses the limitation wherein the signature key use limit information storage part is disposed in the signature module (column 7, lines 1-20). Misra et al. does not mention disposing signature key information but discloses preventing users from multiplying the number of licenses purchased on the same server. This modification would have been obvious because one skilled in the art would have been motivated by the suggestions provided by Misra et al. to prevent copying of licenses.

Claim 6 has the same limitation as claim 1 except for adding a second reading and storage part in the information generating module. Misra et al. discloses a license server (28) that meets the recitation of an information generating unit that can read, store the information in the data carrier.

Claim 7 has the same limitation as claim 1 except for adding a third communication part. Misra et al. discloses many communications part between the units (see figure 3): a second communication part (128) and a third communication part (134). Therefore, claim 7 is rejected on the same rationale as the rejection of claim 1.

As per claim 8, Misra et al. discloses the claimed system of claim 1 capable of combining the storage parts from figure 3. As it is apparent to one skilled in the art, to have the verification key storage part and the second verification key storage part common for all the distribution information processing modules and distribution information management modules does not depart from the scope and spirit of the invention of Misra et al. (see column 5).

As per claim 9, Misra et al. discloses the claimed system of claim 1 capable of combining the information verification parts from figure 3 to perform the same process since the parts are implemented in computers. As it is apparent to one skilled in the art, to have the information verification parts performing the same process does not depart from the scope and spirit of the invention of Misra et al. (see column 5).

As per claim 10, Misra et al. discloses the limitation wherein the first information verification unit has a first verification key selection part (114) that selects the verification key used by the first information verification part (see column 8, lines 35-67).

As per claim 11, Misra et al. discloses the limitation wherein the second information verification unit has a second verification key selection part that selects the verification key used by the second information verification part. Misra et al. further discloses many verification parts that select verification keys (see columns 6, 14-17).

As per claim 12, Misra et al. discloses the limitation wherein the signature key information generating unit has a signature key selection part that selects a signature key (column 15, line 65 through column 16, line 37).

As per claim 13, Misra et al. discloses the limitation wherein the information stored in the data carrier comprises at least a product identifier, a signer identifier, a receiver identifier, and a signature value, and which information is stored as one unit (see tables 1-5 and column 15, line 37 through column 16, line 37). To one skilled in the art, the content of the field disclosed by Misra et al. can be varied in any way into one unit without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention of Misra et al.

As per claim 14, Misra et al. discloses the limitation wherein the information stored in the data carrier contains at least a verification key identifier, and which information is stored as one unit (see tables 1-5 and column 15, line 37 through column 16, line 37).

As per claim 15, Misra et al. discloses the limitation wherein the information stored in the data carrier contains at least a distribution information management module identifier, and which information is stored as one unit (see tables 1-5 and column 15, line 37 through column 16, line 37).

As per claim 16, Misra et al. discloses separate units of signature values stored (see figure 3). Misra et al. further discloses the limitation wherein the information stored in the data

Application/Control Number: 09/454,865 Page 10

Art Unit: 2133

carrier contains at least a product identifier, a signer identifier, and a receiver identifier and which information is stored as one unit and the information has a signature value separately from the information for unit (see tables 1-5).

As per claim 17, Misra et al. discloses the limitation wherein the information stored in the data carrier contains at least a product identifier, a signer identifier, a receiver identifier, and a verification key identifier and which information is stored as one unit, and the information has a signature value corresponding to the verification key identifier for each verification identifier (see tables 1-5). Misra et al. discloses signature for different parts and further authenticates the requests when verifying information (see columns 13-15).

As per claim 18, Misra et al. discloses the limitation of distribution information generated for one set of transaction stores in a data carrier and a signature value of the distribution information (see columns 15-16).

As per claim 19, Misra et al. discloses the limitation wherein the distribution information of the article contains at least the identifier of the article, the identifier of the receiver who received the article, and the identifier of the signer who generates the signature value (see tables 1-5 and columns 15-16).

Application/Control Number: 09/454,865 Page 11

Art Unit: 2133

5.4 Claims 20-23 are similar to the rejected claim 1 except for incorporating the claimed system into a module and a method. Therefore, claims 20-23 are rejected on the same rationale as the rejection of claim 1.

- 5.5 Claims 24-25 have the same limitation as the rejected claim 1 except for incorporating the claimed system into a computer program product. Therefore, claims 26-27 are rejected on the same rationale as the rejection of claim 1.
- 5.6 Claims 26-27 have the same limitation as the rejected claim 1. Therefore, claims 26-27 are rejected on the same rationale as the rejection of claim 1.

### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - a. US Patent 2001/0018660 Satou

This patent pertains to an admission system where the transactions are stored in a card.

b. US Patent 6,539,360 Kadaba

This patent pertains to a method and system for protecting packages to afford special handling shipped to various destinations.

c. US Patent 6,285,916 Kadaba et al.

This patent pertains to a delivery tracking system to track parcels during delivery.

Art Unit: 2133

6.1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl Colin whose telephone number is 703-305-0355. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8:00-6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on 703-305-9595. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

CC

Carl Colin

Patent Examiner

October 17, 2003

 $\mathcal{T}$  Albert DeCady

Primary Examiner